



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

WOLF GREENFIELD & SACKS, PC
FEDERAL RESERVE PLAZA
600 ATLANTIC AVENUE
BOSTON MA 02210-2211

COPY MAILED

FEB 15 2005

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of :
Chiang, et al. : DECISION ON PETITIONS
Application No. 10/635,240 : UNDER 37 CFR 1.78(a)(3) AND
Filed: August 5, 2003 : UNDER 37 CFR 1.78(a)(6)
Attorney Docket No. M0925.70138US00 :

This is a decision on the reconsideration petition under 37 CFR §§ 1.78(a)(3) and 1.78(a)(6), filed January 21, 2005 (certificate of mailing date January 18, 2005), to accept an unintentionally delayed claim under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and 119(e) for the benefit of the prior-filed nonprovisional and provisional applications set forth in the concurrently filed amendment.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

A petition for acceptance of a claim for late priority under 37 CFR §§ 1.78(a)(3) and 1.78(a)(6) is only applicable to those applications filed on or after November 29, 2000. Further, the petition is appropriate only after the expiration of the period specified in 37 CFR §§ 1.78(a)(2)(ii) and 1.78(a)(5)(ii). In addition, the petition under 37 CFR §§ 1.78(a)(3) and 1.78(a)(6) must be accompanied by:

- (1) the reference required by 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and 119(e) and 37 CFR §§ 1.78(a)(2)(i) and 1.78(a)(5)(i) of the prior-filed application, unless previously submitted;
- (2) the surcharge set forth in § 1.17(t); and
- (3) a statement that the entire delay between the date the claim was due under 37 CFR §§ 1.78(a)(2)(ii) and 1.78(a)(5)(ii) and the date the claim was filed was unintentional. The Commissioner may require additional where there is a question whether the delay was unintentional.

The instant application was filed on August 5, 2003 and was pending at the time of filing of the instant petition. A reference to the prior-filed applications has been included in an amendment to

the first sentence of the specification following the title, as required by 37 CFR §§ 1.78(a)(2)(iii) and 1.78(a)(5)(iii).

Additionally, the instant pending nonprovisional application properly claims priority to nonprovisional application no. 09/174,981, filed October 19, 1998, which was filed within twelve months of provisional application nos. 60/085,109, filed May 12, 1998 and 60/062,531, filed October 20, 1997, for which priority is claimed. The instant pending nonprovisional application also claims benefit of nonprovisional application no. 09/827, 806, filed April 6, 2001, which was filed within twelve months of provisional application no. 60/221,278, filed July 27, 2000, for which priority is claimed. A reference to the prior-filed applications has been included in an amendment to the first sentence of the specification following the title.

The instant nonprovisional application was filed after November 29, 2000, and the claim for priority herein is submitted after expiration of the period specified in 37 CFR §§ 1.78(a)(2)(ii) and 1.78(a)(5)(ii). *See* 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and § 119(e). Accordingly, having found that the instant petition satisfies the conditions of 37 CFR §§ 1.78(a)(3) and 1.78(a)(6) for acceptance of an unintentionally delayed claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and § 119(e), the petition to accept an unintentionally delayed claim of benefit to the prior-filed applications is granted.

The granting of the petition to accept the delayed benefit claim to the prior-filed applications under 37 CFR §§ 1.78(a)(3) and 1.78(a)(6) should not be construed as meaning that the instant application is entitled to the benefit of the filing date of the prior-filed applications. In order for the instant application to be entitled to the benefit of the prior-filed applications, all other requirements under 35 U.S.C. §120 and 1.78(a)(1) and (a)(2) and under 35 U.S.C. §119(e) and 37 CFR 1.78(a)(4) and (a)(5) must be met. Similarly, the fact that the corrected Filing Receipt accompanying this decision on petition includes the prior-filed applications should not be construed as meaning that applicant is entitled to the claim for benefit of priority to the prior-filed applications noted thereon. Accordingly, the examiner will, in due course, consider this benefit claim and determine whether the instant application is entitled to the benefit of the earlier filing date.

A corrected Filing Receipt, which includes the priority claim to the prior-filed applications, accompanies this decision on petition.

Regarding finances, the petition fee has been paid twice. Only one petition fee is necessary. Therefore, pursuant to petitioner's authorization, deposit account no. 23-2825 will be credited the \$1,370.00 submitted with the instant reconsideration petition.

Any questions concerning this matter may be directed to Petition Attorney E. Shirene Willis at (571) 272-3230.

This application is being forwarded to Technology Center Art Unit 1755 for appropriate action on the amendment submitted January 21, 2005 (certificate of mailing date January 18, 2005), including consideration by the examiner of the claim under 35 U.S.C. § 120 and 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2) for the benefit of the prior-filed nonprovisional application, and for consideration of the claim under 35 U.S.C. §119(e) and 37 CFR 1.78(a)(5) for the benefit of the prior-filed provisional applications.



Frances Hicks

Lead Petitions Examiner
Office of Petitions
Office of the Deputy Commissioner
for Patent Examination Policy

ATTACHMENT Corrected Filing Receipt